

LAW OFFICES OF
THOMAS M. MULLANEY
Thomas M. Mullaney
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
mulllaw@msn.com
275 Madison Avenue, Suite 3700
New York, New York 10016
Tel 212.223.0800 - Fax 212.661.9860

LARRY D. DRURY, LTD.
Larry D. Drury
ldrurylaw@aol.com
100 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1010
Chicago, Illinois 60602
Tel 312.346.7950 – Fax 312.346.5777

BROWNE GEORGE ROSS LLP
Eric M. George (SBN 166403)
egeorge@bgrfirm.com
Michael A. Bowse (SBN 189659)
mbowse@bgrfirm.com
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2400
Los Angeles, California 90067
Tel (310) 274-7100 - Fax (310) 275-5697

Attorneys for Plaintiff Diane Marolda, Individually
and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
Richard A. Rothman (*pro hac vice*)
Bruce A. Colbath (*pro hac vice*)
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Tel (212) 310-8000

Attorneys for Defendant Symamtec Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

DIANE MAROLDA, Individually and on
behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SYMANTEC CORPORATION,
Defendant.

Case No. 08-cv-05701 EMC
The Hon. Edward M. Chen

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO FILE
REVISED PROPOSED ORDER AND
NOTICE**

Judge: Hon. Edward M. Chen

Trial Date: None Set

1 WHEREAS, on August 10, 2012, this Court held a hearing on Plaintiff's
2 Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "August 10
3 Hearing");

4 WHEREAS, at the August 10 Hearing this Court identified several remaining
5 questions regarding the settlement and the proposed class notice plan;

6 WHEREAS, the Court ordered the parties to file a revised proposed order for
7 preliminary approval and a revised notice plan by August 24, 2012;

8 WHEREAS, the parties have been working diligently together, and with the advice
9 of the Class Administrator, to resolve the questions identified by the Court;

10 WHEREAS, the parties require additional time to finalize certain aspects of the
11 settlement and proposed notice plan, including the development of criteria for the
12 determination of valid claims and the technological details and feasibility of administering
13 some form of online claims processing; and

14 WHEREAS, the parties will endeavor to resolve the remaining questions by no later
15 than September 7, 2012.

16 NOW THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE
17 THAT:

18 The Parties shall have up to and including September 7, 2012 to prepare and file a
19 revised proposed order for preliminary approval, a revised notice plan, and any other
20 documents responsive to the issues raised at the August 10 Hearing.

1 Dated: August 23, 2012

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS M. MULLANEY
Thomas M. Mullaney

2 LARRY D. DRURY, LTD.
3 Larry D. Drury

4 BROWNE GEORGE ROSS LLP
5 Eric M. George
6 Michael A. Bowse

7 By /s/ Michael A. Bowse
Michael A. Bowse

8 Attorneys for Diane Marolda, Individually
9 and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

10
11 Dated: August 23, 2012

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
12 Richard A. Rothman
13 Bruce A. Colbath

14 By /s/ Bruce A. Colbath
Bruce A. Colbath

15 Counsel for Defendant Symantec Corporation
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION,
IT IS SO ORDERED

Date: 08/24/2012

